Hypothesis Tests 1

## CHAPTER 6

## HYPOTHESIS TESTS

- 6.2. (14 points: 2 for each part) For the normal approximation:
  - Scientific Hypothesis: Clinton approval > 0.5.
  - Statistical Hypotheses:

$$H_0: p = 0.5$$
  
 $H_a: p > 0.5$ 

p = population proportion approving of Clinton.

- Model: b(10000, p).
- Standardized Test Statistic:

$$z^* = rac{Y - np_0 - 0.5}{\sqrt{np_0(1 - p_1)}} = rac{5100 - (10000)(0.5) - 0.5}{\sqrt{(10000)(0.5)(1 - 0.5)}} = 1.99$$

- Assumptions:  $Y, n Y \ge 10$ . Satisfied since Y = 5100, n Y = 4900.
- p-value: P(Z > 1.99) = 0.0233,  $Z \sim N(0, 1)$
- Conclusions: Reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_a$ .

For the exact test, change the following:

- Test Statistic:  $y^* = 5100$
- Assumptions: none needed
- p-value: P(b(10000, 0.5) > 5100) = 0.0233.
- 6.6. (5 points) The one-sided test is appropriate since the complaints were that red came up too often. If the complaints were only that the wheel wasn't fair, then a two-sided alternative hypotheses would be appropriate.
- 6.8. (14 points: 2 for each part)
  - Scientific Hypothesis: Mean breaking strengths < 42,300
  - Model: C+E one population.
  - Statistical Hypothesis:

$$H_0: \quad \mu = 42,300 H_a: \quad \mu < 42,300,$$

• Standardized Test Statistic:

$$t^* = rac{ar{y} - 42,300}{s/\sqrt{5}} = rac{42166 - 42300}{259.41/\sqrt{5}} = -1.1551$$

- Assumptions: Normality.
- p-value:  $P(t_4 \le -1.1551) = 0.1562$ .
- Conclusions: Do not reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_a$ .
- 6.14. (14 points: 2 for each part)

Group	$ar{y}$	S	n
Treatment	40.7	10.8	50
Control	39.0	12.2	50

- Scientific Hypothesis: Refrigerated batteries last longer, on average.
- Model: Two population C+E
- Statistical Hypothesis:

 $\mu_T$  = mean life of refrigerated batteries

 $\mu_C$  = mean life of unrefrigerated batteries

• Standardized Test Statistic: Since the sample sizes are large, we compute

$$z^* = rac{ar{y}_T - ar{y}_C}{\sqrt{rac{s_T^2}{n_T} + rac{s_C^2}{n_C}}} = rac{40.7 - 39.0}{\sqrt{rac{(10.8)^2}{50} + rac{(12.2)^2}{50}}} = 0.74$$

- Assumptions: No extreme outliers.
- *p*-value:  $P(Z \ge 0.74) = 0.2297$
- Conclusion: Do not reject  $H_0$ .
- 6.20. (5 points) No. A large p-value signifies that the observed data are consistent with the null hypothesis, but it does not prove  $H_0$  to be true. Remember, in calculating the p-value, we assume  $H_0$  is true.

## 6.24. (14 points: 2 for each part)

- Scientific Hypothesis: The proportion of female students who prefer cookie A to cookie B is greater than the proportion of male students who prefer cookie A to cookie B.
- Model: The observed number of male students who prefer cookie A to cookie B is  $Y_1 \sim b(179, p_1)$ , and the observed number of female students who prefer cookie A to cookie B is  $Y_2 \sim b(66, p_2)$ . The populations are independent.
- Statistical Hypothesis:

$$egin{array}{lll} H_0: & p_1 & = & p_2 \ H_a: & p_1 & < & p_2. \end{array}$$

• Standardized Test Statistic: The point estimator of  $p_1$  is  $\hat{p}_1 = 94/179 = 0.53$ , and of  $p_2$  is  $\hat{p}_2 = 39/66 = 0.59$ . The standardized test statistic is

$$z^* = \frac{\hat{p}_1 - \hat{p}_2}{\sqrt{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})(\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1}{n_2})}}$$

$$= \frac{0.53 - 0.59}{\sqrt{(0.54)(0.46)(\frac{1}{179} + \frac{1}{66})}}$$

$$= -0.92,$$

where

$$\hat{p} = \frac{y_1 + y_2}{n_1 + n_2} = \frac{94 + 39}{179 + 66} = \frac{133}{245} = 0.54.$$

• Assumptions: Since

$$\left. egin{array}{ll} y_1 = 94 & y_2 = 39 \ n_1 - y_1 = 85 & n_2 - y_2 = 27 \end{array} 
ight\} \Rightarrow ext{ all } > 10,$$

we are comfortable using the large sample test based on the normal approximation.

- *p*-value: P(Z < -0.92) = 0.1788.
- Conclusion: Since 0.1788 > 0.05, do not reject  $H_0$ . There is not enough evidence to conclude that the proportion of female students who prefer cookie A is greater than the proportion of male students who prefer cookie A.